# **PHOTOCHEMICAL AND THERMAL ADDITION OF ALCOHOLS TO 2-CYANOFURAN**

# I-ALKOXY-2-CYANOCYCLOPROPANE-3-CARBOXALDEHYDES; 2-ALKOXY-3-CYANO-2, 3-DIHYDROFURAN; I-ALKOXY-I-(2\_FURAN>METHYLENIMINE; PHOTOCHEMICAL RING CONTRACTION; CIS-TRANS-ISOMERIZATION OF DIHYDROFURANS

## H. **HIRAOKA\***

**IBM Research Laboratory, San Jose, California** 

## *(Received* **in** *USA 19 October 1972; Received in UK forpublicotion* **15** *May 1973)*

**Abstract-The photolysis of 2-cyanofuran in alcohols yields I-alkoxy-2cyanocyclopropane-3-carboxaldehydes as the major products, which rearrange thermally to** *trans-2-alkoxy-3-cyano-2,3dihydro*furans and small amounts of *cis*-isomers. Thermal mutual rearrangements between *trans*- and *cis-2***alkoxy-3-cyane2, 3dihydrofurans were studied. Liquid phase thermal addition of alcohols in a temperature range between 100" and 200" yields I-alkoxy- I-(2-furan~methylenimines, which rearrange photochemically to 2-fury]-methylamino-ketone in case of the methoxy derivative. 3-Cyano-furan does not yield photoaddition or thermal addition products. The different behaviors of 2- and 3-substituted fumns are discussed.** 

Five-membered cyclic compounds undergo valence isomerizations under the influence of UV light in two different ways: Ring contractions to yield cyclopropenyl compounds, and bicyclizations to yield Dewar type isomers. Furans,<sup>1</sup> isoxazoles,<sup>2</sup> pyrazoles,3 and possibly thiophenes' belong to the first class, and cyclopentadiene,<sup>5</sup> pyrazolenines,<sup>6</sup> and pyrroles<sup>7</sup> to the second class. A short communication treating differences of photochemical reactions of furan and pyrrole was reported,' while 2-methoxy-3-cyano\_2,3\_dihydrofuran was claimed to be a major photoproduct of 2-cyanofuran in methanol.<sup>8</sup> The present paper gives a full account of the photochemical addition of an alcohol to 2 cyanofuran, and compares it with the thermal addition.

## *Photoproducts ond mechanism of photochemical reactions*

The 254 nm irradiation of 2-cyanofuran in a methanol solution yields almost exclusively the adducts of methanol and 2-cyanofuran. The main mass spectrum peaks of the **adducts are located at**  m/e 126 (8%), 125 (parent peak, 81%), 110 (·OCHCHCNCHCHO, 30%), 96(CH<sub>3</sub>OCHCH-CNCH · , base peak 100%) 94(·CHCHCNCHCHO, 58%), 93(CH=CCNCHCHO, 36%), 82(57%), 66(61%), 55(73%), 39(·CHCH=CH, 55%), 31 (.OCHs, 20%), 29(CHO, 70%), 28(CO, 29%). The mass spectrum indicates the products to be aldehydes by relatively large peaks at  $m/e$  96 and 29. The neat IR spectrum of the adducts also shows

aldehyde absorptions at  $2860$ ,  $2760(\nu \text{ H-CO})$ ,  $1720(\nu \text{ C=O})$  cm<sup>-1</sup> in addition to C-H stretching vibration in cyclopropane ring at  $3040 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . other main peaks are located at 2950, 2250  $(\nu)$ C≡N), 1460, 1400, 1225, 1190, 1140, 1070, 975, and  $910 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . Moreover, addition of 2.4-dinitrophenyl-hydrazine reagent to the irradiated solutions affords yellow crystals, indicating presence of aldehyde groups. The NMR spectrum, as shown in Fig 1, indicates two different aldehyde groups at  $\tau$  0.45 (d, J = 3.5 Hz), and  $\tau$  0.72 (t, J = 3.3 Hz), together with a small amount of a third aldehyde which has an NMR absorption at  $\tau$  0.72 (d, J = 3.3) Hz) inside the triplet, as shown in an expanded scale; relative ratio  $0.52:0.39:0.09$ . The other NMR signals are located at  $\tau$  6.0(m), 6.6 (two large and one small singlets,  $CH<sub>3</sub>O$ ,  $7.5(m)$ , and  $7.8(q)$ : relative intensities to the combined aldehyde signals are  $1:3:1.5:0.5$ . Interestingly there is no olefinic hydrogen appearing in the NMR spectrum. Relatively large coupling constants for the aldehyde hydrogens indicate that the adducts are cyclopropane carboxaldehydes,<sup>9</sup> which also agree with the observed IR absorptions attributed to aldehyde groups and cyclopropane rings. On the basis of the spectroscopic evidence and of the thermal rearrangements discussed in the following section, the adducts are concluded to be a mixture of l-cyanotrans-2-methoxy-cyclopropane-trans-3-carboxaldehyde, (1), and 1-cyano-trans-2-methoxy-cyclopropane-cis-3-carboxaldehyde (2), together with a small amount of 1 -cyano-cis-2-methoxy-cyclo-



Fig 1. 100 MHz NMR spectrum of the photoadducts, I-cyano-2-methoxycyclopropane-3-carboxaldehydes in CDCI,: (A) MeOH-Adducts: the integral lines belong to these adducts, and from the lines of  $H_a$  in the expanded scale the isomer ratio 1:2:3 is obtained to be 0.52:0.39:0.09; (B) MeOD-Adducts: small impurity peaks at  $\tau$  3.55(d), 4.48(s), 5.00(d), 6.5(m) belong to the thermally rearranged product, rrans-2-hydro-2-methoxy-3-cyano-3-deuterofuran.



propane-trans-3carboxaldehyde (3). The aldehyde hydrogen,  $H_a$ , of (2) is coupled with  $H_b$  as well as with  $H_c$  through the "W" rule,<sup>10</sup> whereas the interaction between H, and the OMe group in 1 inhibits the "W" rule coupling between  $H_a$  and  $H_d$ . In the NMR spectrum of the  $CH<sub>3</sub>OD$ -adduct, the composite signal of the triplet and the doublet at  $\tau$  0.72 is replaced with a single doublet  $(J = 4.0$  Hz), as shown in Fig 1B, due to the loss of the  $H_a$  and  $H_c$ coupling. The downfield shift of the aldehyde hydrogen of **1** from the one of 2 and of 3 can be attributed to the effect of the neighboring OMe group, characteristic to this structure. The multiplet at  $\tau$  6.0 is replaced with a triplet in the MeODadducts spectrum, and it clearly belongs to  $H<sub>d</sub>$ .\* There are two distinct, large singlets of the OMe groups of 1 and 2 at  $\tau$  6.60 and 6.62. Among the

\*Among the  $H_d$  multiplet, the highest field single peak may be an impurity signal.

complex multiplet signals at  $\tau$  7.5, downfield peaks and the quartet at  $\tau$  7.8 belong to H<sub>c</sub>, as indicated by the signal loss in the MeOD-adducts spectrum: the rest of the multiplet at  $\tau$  7.5 belongs to H<sub>b</sub>. Because of a complex four spins system, composed of two main isomeric species, further analysis of the spectrum is not carried out. The irradiation of 2-cyanofuran in ethanol and t-butyl alcohol yields the adducts with the same NMR spectrum except the OMe group signal, which is replaced with the corresponding alkoxy group signals. However, with increase of the size of the alkoxy group, it becomes more difficult to purify the cyclopropanecarboxaldehydes from the photolyzate.

Because only I-alkoxy-2-cyano-cyclopropane-3 carboxaldehydes were formed in the photolysis of 2-cyanofuran in an alcoholic solution, the primary photochemical reaction is concluded to be the ring contraction to yield 1-cyano-cyclopropene-3 carboxaldehyde 4:



The  $C=$  double bond of 4, conjugated with a cyano group, adds alcohols readily and results in formation of 1, 2, and 3. This addition is regarded as an extended Michael's reaction." Absence of steric hindrance clearly is a major factor favoring formation of 1 and 2 versus 3 and 1-cyano-cis-Z  $m$ ethoxy-cyclopropane-cis-3-carboxaldehyde, which is probably not formed due to overcrowding in one side of the cyclopropane ring. Several times repeated experiments are carried out to find any formation of 3-cyanofuran, but even its trace amount is not found among the products in the liquid phase photolysis, in contrast to 2-alkylfuran which photorearranges to 3-alkylfuran.<sup>12</sup>

### *Thermal rearrangements of photoadducts*

When the photoproducts are separated in a gas chromatograph, cyclopropanecarboxaldehydes are barely detected, but 2-alkoxy-trans-3-cyano-2,3dihydrofuran 5 is obtained as the major product with a small amount of its  $cis$ -isomer 6. The ratio of *trans-* to cis-isomers depends on the size of the alkyl group: 10 for methyl and 20 for ethyl; no *cis*isomer for t-butyl.



Quantum and chemical yields of *2-methoxy-trans-*3-cyano-2.3-dihydrofuran measured with the gas chromatography are O-062 and 0.26 respectively, when  $4 \times 10^{-3}$  mol 1<sup>-1</sup> 2-cyanofuran solution in methanol is irradiated with a low pressure mercury lamp with intensity  $1 \times 10^{17}$  quanta sec<sup>-1</sup>. Partial decomposition of the cyclopropanecarboxaldehydes inside the gas chromatograph is responsible for a rather low yield of the dihydrofuran.

\*Hitachi Perkin-Elmer Model RMS-4 Mass Spectrometer: the temperature and the pressure inside the ionization chamber are 200°C and  $\sim 10^{-6}$  torr respectively.

Pyrolysis of the photoadducts in a sealed tube confirms the rearrangement inside the gas chromatograph: 10 min heating at  $130^{\circ}$  and at gas density  $8.0 \times 10^{-5}$  mol cc<sup>-1</sup> in a sealed tube completes 94% of the rearrangement of the MeOH-adducts, as shown by Fig 2A, the NMR spectrum of the resulting mixture, which matches the spectrum of the mixture of 90% 2-methoxy-lrans-3-cyano-2,3-dihydrofuran and 10% its *cis-* isomer. The assignment of the NMR spectrum is shown in the figure. The isomer iwth a larger coupling constant, 7 Hz, between  $H_a$  and  $H_b$  is assigned *cis*-, and the one with a smaller coupling constant, 3 Hz, trans-configuration, because all reported coupling constants for the cis-isomers of 2,3-disubstituted-2,3-dihydrofurans are larger than those of the *trans*-isomers.<sup>13</sup> The same ratio of *cis-* and *trans-isomers* for the products 5, 6 (ratio:  $0.10$ ) and the precursors 1, 2, 3 (ratio: 0.09) within the experimental error indicates no *cis-trans-* isomerizations of the cyclopropane ring below l30", thus a concerted process for the ring expansion reaction in the vapor phase.

Spectroscopic data of 2-ethoxy-, and 2-t-butoxy-, 3-cyano-2,3-dihydrofuran are summarized in Table 1. The main mass spectrum peaks of 2-methoxy-3 cyano-2,3-dihydrofuran appear at *m/e 125* (relative intensity  $0.62$ ), 110( $0.36$ ), 96 (parent peak  $1.00$ ),  $94(0.53)$ ,  $93(0.25)$ ,  $82(0.64)$ ,  $66(0.63)$ ,  $55(0.78)$ ,  $39(0.72)$ ,  $31(0.19)$ ,  $29(1.00)$ . The similarity of the fraction pattern to the one of the cyclopropanecarboxaldehydes reported earlier indicates that the reverse reaction takes place inside the ionization chamber.\* Thermal and photochemical rearrangements of dihydrofurans to cyclopropanecarboxaldehydes are well known reactions.  $14, 12a, b$ 

The liquid phase thermal rearrangement of 2 methoxy-3-cyano-2,3-dihydrofuran in a deuterated



Fig 2. 100 MHz NMR spectrum of thermally rearranged products of I-cyano-2-methoxy-cyclopropane-3-carboxaldehydes: (A) After 10 min at  $130^{\circ}$  in the vapor phase; 94% of the original aldehydes disappeared, yielding 2-methoxy-3-cyano-2,3-dihydrofurans with cis- to trans-ratio =  $0.10$ ; (B) after 10 hr at 130° in a CDCl<sub>3</sub> solution;  $37\%$  conversion; *cis-* to *trans*-ratio = 0.50.

		н.	$H_c$	۵H, OR		$H_c$ H.	۱OR
			5				6
R			$C_2H_5$		$C(CH_2)$	$C_2H_3$	
NMR in $CCL, \tau$	н. $H_{h}$ Н. н. CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>3</sub> J	4.92(t, 1) 3.44(t, 1) $J_{cd} = J_{bc} = J_{bd} = J_{ab} = 3 H_z$	4.30(d, 1) 6.42(q, 1) 6.23(q, 2)	4.35(d, 1) 5.20(t, 1) 3.80(t, 1) $8.75(t, 3)$ $8.74(s, 9)$	6.60(m, 1)	4.40(d, 1) 6.2(m, 1) 4.90(t, 1) 3.48(t, 1) 6.25(q, 2) 8.70(t, 3) $J_{cd} = J_{bc} =$ $J_{bd} = 3 Hz$ ,	
IR in CCl <sub>4</sub> , cm <sup>-1</sup>		3000 2920 2230 1620	1370 1200 1105 1060	3000 2230 1610 1380	1350 1100 1055	$J_{ab} = 7 H_{z}$ 2990- 2920 2250 1620 1370	1340 1140 1055 1010
Mass, m/e		139 110 109	93 82 81	167 137 93	65 58 56	139 110 109	93 82 81

Table 1. Summary of spectroscopic data of trons- and *cis-2*  alkoxy-3-cyano-2, 3-dihydrofurans

chloroform solution was studied in a sealed NMR tube. The typical NMR spectrum obtained is shown in Fig 2B. The rate of the rearrangement is slowed down substantially in the liquid phase, as shown by comparison with Fig 2A. Furthermore, the yield of the *cis*-isomer,  $6$ , is relatively high: relative ratio of cis-to trans-isomers is  $0.50$  at  $37%$ conversion after 10 hr heating at  $130^\circ$ , and  $0.44$  at 32% conversion after  $5.2$  hr at 158 $^{\circ}$ , in contrast to the vapor phase products ratio  $0.10$ . The *cis*isomer probably rearranges at a faster rate than its trans-isomer because of its additional strain caused by the neighboring functional groups, resulting a relatively large ratio of cis-isomer in the early stage of the reaction. Another possible reason is a partial cis-trans isomerization in the intermediate state, a  $\alpha$  cleaved dihydrofuran biradical: the rate of the ring expansion is in the order of l/100 of the rate of vapor phase reaction at the same temperature,\* leading to a larger possibility of cis-trans-isomerization. A prolonged heating of the solution in order to have a high conversion

of the methoxy-cyclopropanecarboxaldehyde was inhibited because of black powder formation inside the NMR tube. However, heating 1-cyano-2ethoxy-cyclopropane-3-carboxaldehyde in a deuterated chloroform solution for  $3.0$  hr at  $117^{\circ}$  converted 85% of the aldehyde to the dihydrofuran (yield: 72%) with the *cis-* to trans-ratio 0.22, in comparison with a gas phase products ratio O-05. This result supports the latter explanation, that is, a partial cis-trans-isomerization in deuterated chloroform in the biradical intermediate state. The ethoxycyclopropanecarboxaldehyde rearranges to the dihydrofuran at a rate,  $1.54 \times 10^{-4}$  sec<sup>-1</sup> at 117°, 8.6 times faster than the methoxy compound at the same temperature. A similar acceleration of reactions by increased size of substituents is observed in the ring expansion reactions of vinylcyclopropanes to cyclopentenes.<sup>15</sup>

So far the ring expansion rearrangements of the photoadducts, the cyclopropanecarboxaldehydes, are reported. The resulted dihydrofurans, however, are not stable under some conditions. When 2 alkoxy-3-cyano-2,3-dihydrofurans are heated in an aqueous methanol solution between 100 and 187" for a few hr in a sealed, heavy-wall Pyrex tube, only 3-cyanofuran is quantitatively formed. This result is reasonably explained by assuming as an intermediate species 2-hydroxy-3-cyano-2,3-dihydrofuran, which readily loses water to yield 3 cyanofuran.<sup>†</sup> The unimolecular removal of alcohol

<sup>\*</sup>The correct measurement of the rate of the ring expansion rearrangements in the vapor phase is difficult: main troubles are time lapse for temperature equilibration, and low vapor pressure of the cyclopropanecarboxaldehydes at temperatures convenient for the measurements.

t2-Hydroxy-2.3-dihydrofuran has never been reported as a stable species in the Literature.

is too slow to be important in this temperature range, while the above liquid phase reaction is finished after 100 min at 187° with no trace of dihydrofurans remaining.

Vapor phase thermal reactions of 2-ethoxy-3 cyano-2,3-dihydrofurans were studied between 187 and 415°. From trans-2-ethoxy-3-cyano-2,3dihydrofuran, its cis-isomer, 3-cyanofuran, ethanol and a trace of an aldehyde are formed. From the  $cis$ -dihydrofuran, its *trans-*isomer, 3-cyanofuran, ethanol and the aldehyde are obtained. Typical

ively as methanol does: after 8 hr at 187", 50% of the original 2-cyanofuran has reacted to yield Iethoxy- l -(2-furan)-methylenimine (yield: 40%), 2-furoic acid methyl ester (40%), and 2-furoic acid (7%). t-Butyl and isopropyl alcohols do not yield stable thermal adducts. Spectroscopic data of the adducts are summarized in Table 3. In the adduct formed with MeOD, the broad NMR band due to the proton of the imine group at  $\tau$  2.3 is absent, with the rest of the spectrum unchanged. The thermal reaction does not yield any trace of the photo-

Table2. Typical results of vapor phase pyrolysis of 2-ethoxy-3-cyano-2, 3-dihydrofurans

Initial rate of formation, $sec^{-1}$									
Initial species	Temp °C	trans- isomer	cis- isomer	3-Cyanofuran	Aldehyde				
trans- .CN	187	-------------	$3.1 \times 10^{-7}$	$2.5 \times 10^{-7}$	$6.7 \times 10^{-8}$				
OEt	340		$8.9 \times 10^{-7}$	$1.2 \times 10^{-5}$					
cis- .CN $\triangle$ OEt	340	$1.6 \times 10^{-5}$	--------------	$1.1 \times 10^{-5}$	$1.8 \times 10^{-6}$				

results are shown in Table 2. We have not determined the exact structure of the aldehyde because of its small yield, but it had IR bands (2810.2700,  $1725$  cm<sup>-1</sup>) characteristic of an aldehyde, the same molecular weight as the starting dibydrofuran. Pyrolyses of other 2-alkoxy-3-cyano-2,3-dihydrofurans proceed analogously to the ethoxy compounds.

## *Thermal addition of alcohols to 2-cyanofuran*

In order to find out whether formation of 2,3dihydrofurans can take place only photochemically, we studied the thermal addition of alcohols to 2 cyanofuran and 3-cyanofuran between 100 and 200" under pressure in sealed tubes. 3-Cyanofuran does not yield any thermal adducts with alcohols even after 35 hr at 200°, whereas 2-cyanofuran<br>yields quantitatively 1-methoxy-1-(2-furan)quantitatively  $1$ -methoxy-1- $(2$ -furan) methylemimine 7 with 75% conversion after 8 hr at 187°. The surface of the reactors (Pyrex, Pyrex treated with a sodium hydroxide solution, or brass tubes) does not have any effect on the reaction. Ethanol does not yield the thermal adduct as effect-

chemical adducts, i.e., the substituted, 2,3-dihydrofurans. The vapor phase pyrolysis of 1 -methoxy- l- (2-furan>methylenimine at 300" yields 2-cyanofuran and methanol as major products. Its vapor phase photolysis with low pressure mercury lamps primarily yields 2-furyl-methylamino-ketone 8, with small amounts of 2-cyanofuran and methanol. All these results confirm the molecular structure of the thermal adducts.

## **DISCUSSION**

The primary photochemical process of 3-substituted furans is the bond breakage between the oxygen in the ring and the 5-carbon next to the oxygen to yield 3-substituted cyclopropene-3 carboxaldehydes 9, whereas the 2-substituted furans yield l-substituted cyclopropene-3-carboxaldehydes 10.19 In order for the Michael's reaction to take place, the substituent group must be in the same plane with the cyclopropene ring. This can be accomplished in 10, but not in 9, thus explaining why 3-cyanofuran did not yield the photoaddition products. The same primary process explains the



#### *2960* H. HIRAOKA

		$H_c$ $H_d$ 7	$\mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{c}}$ н. н. $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{d}}$ гH, 8		
R		CH <sub>3</sub>	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	CH <sub>3</sub>	
NMR in	Н, $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{b}}$ H. $H_d/R$ CH <sub>2</sub>	2.30(broad) 3.41(d, 1) 3.67(q, 1) 2.63(q, 1)	2.20(broad) 3.29(d, 1) 3.52(q, 1) 2.48(q, 1) 5.67(q, 2)	3.8(broad) 2.87(d, 1) $3.50$ (sextet, 1) 2.55(m, 1)	
CCL	CH <sub>3</sub> /OCH <sub>3</sub> J	6.21(s, 3) $J_{ch} = 3 Hz$	8.65(t, 3) $J_{cb} = 3.7 \text{ Hz}$ $J_{cd} = 3 Hz$	6.99(d, 3) $J_{NK-CH_3} = 5 Hz$ $J_{cb} = 3.5 Hz$	
IR in		3300 $(\nu N-H)$ 2980 2910 1650 $(\nu C = N)$	3320 2980 1650 $(\nu C=N)$ 1550	3390 2900 1680 $(\nu C = 0)$ 1600	
$CCl4$ , cm <sup>-1</sup>		1550 1350 1090 1020 965	1330 1080 1000	1290	
Mass,		125 95 94	139	125 111 95	
m e		81			

Table 3. Summary of spectroscopic data of I-alkoxy-1-(2-furan)-methylenimine 7, and 2-furyl-methylamino-ketone 8



specific behavior of photoisomerizations and photodecarbonylations of furans.<sup>12c,\*</sup>

The absence of 3-cyanofuran among the photoproducts can be explained by a strong inductive effect of the cyano group in the cyclopropene ring, so that only reverse reaction to 2-cyanofuran and the Michael's alcohol addition took place, while an alkyl group as a substituent to the cyclopropene ring does not have such a strong effect, resulting in rearrangement to 3-alkylfuran and non-addition of alcohols to the cyclopropene ring.

#### **EXPERIMENTAL**

*l-Cyan~2-metho~-cyclopropa~e-3-carboxaldehydes.* 1, *2, and 3.* 

*Preparation.* As a typical example, I,0 g purified 2.

\*As an exception, 2-furfuraldehyde in methanol quantitatively yields 2-furyldimethoxymethane upon irradiation at 2S4 nm.

ere mixed together<br>ith a low pressure in an immersion type photoreactor with a low pressure mercury lamp (intensity at  $254 \text{ nm}$ :  $1 \times 10^{17} \text{ quanta/sec}$ ). After the soln was completely deaerated under a vacuum, the soln was irradiated for 12 hr at 0". The solvent was oompletely removed with a rotary evaporator at a reduced pressure. The remaining viscous yellowish liquid 1.2 g was placed inside a high vacuum system, and transferred from a trap to a cold trap at  $-60^{\circ}$  by warming the liquid instantaneously by a heat gun at  $10^{-2}$  torr pressure. The transfered pale yellowish liquid is a mixture of I-cyano-2-methoxy-cyclopropae-3-carboxaldehydes and 2-methoxy-3-cyano-2,3-dihydrofurans as minor components. In order to remove the latters, the liquid was warmed to  $50^\circ$  under  $10^{-3}$  torr vacuum for 10 min. The remaining viscous liquid  $0.3g$  (22% yield) is free from 2-methoxy-3-cyano-2,3-dihydrofurans. Other 1-alkoxy-2-cyanocyclopropane-3-carboxaldehydes were prepared in an analogous way.

*Vapor phase thermal rearrangements.*  $10 \mu l$  purified 1-cyano-2-methoxy-cyclopropane-3-carboxaldehyde was sealed in a Pyrex glass tube (SO ml in volume) at a pressure below 10<sup>-4</sup> torr. The entire tube was then submersed in a constantly boiling liquid. After some time of heating, the tube was taken out and cooled to  $-60^\circ$ . Through a narrow broken seal,  $0.5$  ml of CDCl<sub>3</sub> was added to dissolve all the soluble compounds inside the tube, and then transferred to an NMR tube for the spectrum measurement with Varian HA- 100 MHz Spectrometer.

*Liquid phase thermal reurrangements. 20~1* pure lcyano-2-methoxy-cyclopropane-3-carboxaldehydes and  $0.5$  ml CDCl<sub>3</sub> were put together with a small amount of TMS in an NMR tube and sealed in a high vacuum. The entire tube was then submersed in a constantly boiling liquid, and periodically taken out for the NMR measurement. The CHCl<sub>3</sub> peak was taken as a standard for a rate measurement.

#### *2-Methaxy-3-cyano-2,3-dihydrofurans*

*Preparation.* As a typical example, 260 ml methanol soln containing 0.13 g 2-cyanofuran was placed in an immersion type photoreactor with a low pressure mercury lamp (intensity at 254 nm:  $1 \times 10^{-5}$  Einstain min<sup>-1</sup>), and the soln was deaerated under a vacuum. After 5 hr irradiation, the solvent was removed at a reduced pressure, and the condensed soln was injected into Hewlett-Packard Research Chromatograph Model 5750 with an 8 feet long 550X Ucon oil column (200° injection port temp, 240° TC and outlet ports temp. 60 ml min-' flow rate). At a 2°C min-' programmed rate, starting at 125 to end at IgO", 2-cyanofuran 0.065 g was recovered 4.0 min after injection, and *trans-2-methoxy-3-cyano-2,3-dihydrofuran*  $0.023$  g and its cis-isomer  $0.002$  g were obtained  $10.0$ and  $24.2$  min after injection respectively. The products obtained in thermal rearrangements of 1-cyano-2-methoxycyclopropane-3-carboxaldehydes appeared at the same retention times and had the same spectroscopic data as the ones mentioned above.

*Thermal reactions in aqueous methanol solutions. 0.050 g* 2-methoxy-3-cyano-2.3-dihydrofuran, 2.0 g water, and 3 ml MeOH were mixed together and sealed in a heavy wall Pyrex glass tube under a vacuum. After heating for 100 min in a constantly boiling liquid at 187", the tube was cooled, and the soln was injected to the gas chromatograph. After MeOH and water peaks, 3-cyanofuran  $0.045$  g appeared 10.0 min after injection at a 125 $^{\circ}$ constant temp run, with no trace of 2-cyanofuran which had a retention time 8.5 min at this condition. The NMR spectrum of 3-cyanofuran:  $\tau^{\text{ccl}_4}$  2.05(m, 1), 2.49(m, 1),  $3.35(m, 1)$ .

*Pyrolysis of 2-methoxy-3-cyano-2,3-dihydrofuran. 2-*  Methoxy-3-cyano-2,3-dihydrofuran  $12 \mu l$  ( $10^{-4}$  mol) was sealed in a  $24.4$  ml Pyrex-glass tube at a pressure below  $10<sup>-4</sup>$  torr, and it was placed inside a furnace. After heating, typically 3 hr at 349". the tube was cooled and the entire content was injected into the gas chromatograph through a break seal. The results are shown in Table 2.

*Thermul addition of methanol to 2-cyanofurun.* Purified 2-cyanofuran 0.50 g and 3.0 g MeOH were mixed together and sealed in a heavy wall Pyrex glass tube in a vacuum. After heating for 8 hr at 187°, the soln was injected to the gas chromatograph. At a 2° min<sup>-1</sup> programmed rate starting to  $100^\circ$  to end at  $180^\circ$ , 2-cyanofuran  $0.12g$  was recovered, and I-methoxy- I-(2-furan)-methylenimine 0.50 g was obtained 18.5 **min after injection.** 

*Vapor phase photolysis of I-methoxy-I-(2-furan) methylenimine.* The imine 0.20 g was placed inside an 800 ml quartz tube, and the tube was pumped out to  $10^{-4}$  torr at 77" K. The tube was then placed inside another quartz tube partially wrapped with heated nichrom wire. After temp equilibration at 200", the irradiation was carried out with a surrounding bank of low pressure mercury lamps. The whole content of the tube was then injected into the gas chromatograph with a 6 feet Apiezone oil column. In a 2" min-' programmed rate starting at 100" to end  $180^\circ$ . 2-furyl-methylamino-ketone  $0.030 g$  was obtained 30 min after injection. In addition, 2-cyanofuran and methanol were obtained as products, but quantitative results were hard to obtain because of the very low vapor pressure of the main product.

*Acknowledgments-The* author wishes to thank Dr. L. R. Bickford and Dr. H. E. Hunziker for their constant encouragement and discussion, and Mrs. J. Picone for her technical help.

#### **REFERENCES**

- 'R. Srinivasan,J. *Am. Chem. Sot. 89,4812* (1967)
- \*E. F. Ullman and B. Sinah. */bid. 88,* I844 (1966)
- <sup>3</sup>H. Tiefenthaler, W. Dörschein, H. Göth and H. Schmid, *Helv. Chim. Acta X),2244 (1967)*
- \*H. Wynberg, R. M. Kellogg, H. van Driel and G. E. Beekhuis, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 89, 3501 (1967); S. Braslavsky, H. A. Wiebe and J. Heicklen, *Center for Air Environment Studies* Publication No. 164-70, Penn State Univ (1970)
- JJ. I. Brauman, L. E. Ellis and E. E. van Tamelen,J. *Am.*  Chem. Soc. 88, 846 (1966)
- 6G. L. Gloss and W. A. Boll, *Ibid. 85.3904 (1963)*
- 'H. Hiraoka, *Chem. Commun.* 1610 (1971)
- <sup>8</sup>R. Srinivasan and H. Hiraoka, Abstract of Joint Con*ference of C/C and ACS,* Toronto, Canada; May (1970) <sup>9</sup>G. J. Karabatsos and N. Hsi, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 87, 2864 (1965)
- 'OL. M. Jackman and S. Stemhell, *Applications of Muclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy in Organic Chemistry* (2nd Edition), pp. 334. Pergamon Press, Elmsford, New York (1969)
- "E. D. Bergman, D. Ginsburg and R. Rappo, *Organic Reactions,* Vol. X, Wiley, New York (1959)
- <sup>124</sup>H. Hiraoka and R. Srinivasan, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 90, 2720 (1968); "H. Hiraoka, J. *Phys.* Chem. 74, 574 (1970); 'E. E. van Tamalen and T. H. Whitesides, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 90, 3894 (1968)
- '3y. Pastemak and G. Pfeiffer. *C.R. Acad Sci. Paris 259.*  1142(1964)
- 'C. L. Wilson, J. *Am. Chem. Sot., 69.3002* (1947)
- IsP. J. Robinson and K. A. Holbrook, *Unimolecular Reactions* pp *185.* Wiley-Interscience, New York (1972)